

## United States Patent and Trademark Office





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/774,397	01/31/2001	Theresa A. Hadlock	00786-446001	3080
7	590 10/25/2002			
JANIS K. FRASER Fish & Richardson P.C. 225 Franklin Street			EXAMINER	
			JACKSON, GARY	
Boston, MA 02110-2804			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3731	
			DATE MAILED: 10/25/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	_	_	SM-			
م <b>ر</b> الم		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
_		09/774,397	HADLOCK ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Gary Jackson	3731			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHOTHE I  - Externafter  - If the  - If NO  - Failu  - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insigns of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed  will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.  (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31.3	lanuary 2001 .				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims						
4)🛛	Claim(s) 1-46 is/are pending in the application	l <b>.</b>				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.				
5)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-39</u> is/are allowed.	•				
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>40-43,45 and 46</u> is/are rejected.					
7)🛛	Claim(s) 44 is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35,Ų.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s) Herry Jackson						
2) Notic	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>4</u>	4) Interview Summary 5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/774,397

Art Unit: 3731

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This action is a response to applicants' application filed January 31, 2001.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The use of the trademarks PLURONICS and MATRIGEL, have been noted in this application. It should be capitalized wherever it appears and be accompanied by the generic terminology.

Although the use of trademarks is permissible in patent applications, the proprietary nature of the marks should be respected and every effort made to prevent their use in any manner which might adversely affect their validity as trademarks. However, the trademarks cannot appear in the claims. The examiner suggests use of the generic terminology.

Claim 17 is objected to because of the reasons stated above. Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later

Application/Control Number: 09/774,397

Art Unit: 3731

invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 40-43 and 45-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Butler et al (US Patent 5,980,889) in view of Stensaas et al (US Patent 4,778,467). The patent to Butler et al discloses a method for regenerating nerves with use of a cell-encapsulating device. The latter reference suggests use of a roll device also for the regeneration of nerves.

It would have been within the purview of one having ordinary skill in the art to provide Butler et al with the roll device of Stensaas et al so as to wrap the nerve ends for tighter grip rather inserting the ends into a grip.

# Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 44 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 1-39 are allowable over the art of record.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary Jackson whose telephone number is (703) 308-4302. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs. 7:30 am to 6:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Milano can be reached on (703) 308-2496. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-2708 for regular communications and (703) 308-3590 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

Gary Jackson
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3731

GJ

October 21, 2002